(4)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTSIGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No 3344/2006 **SINGLE BENCH**

PETITIONER : R.S.Sahu s/o Shri B.R.Sahu, aged about 47 years, Sub Engineer, Water Resources Department, Tandula Division, Durg, C.G.
r/o Subhash Nagar, Durg, District Durg, C.G.

P.R. No. 3405/06
Presented by Shri Rajesh Tiwari
dated 6/7/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :
1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Water Resources Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, Distt. Raipur, C.G.
2. Joint Secretary, Panchayat Evam Gramin Vikas Vibhag, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur, C.G.
3. The Executive Engineer, Tandula Water Resources Division, Durg, District Durg, C.G.
4. Sub Divisional Officer, Tandula Water Resources Division, Durg, C.G.

RECEIVED COPY
CLERK to BILASPUR
6/7/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

(38)

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(37)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ....WP 3344/06.... **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	10.7.2006 Shri A.K. Prasad, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Govt. Adv. for respondents on advance copy. He seeks time till 13.7.2006 to file the reply. Time is allowed. Heard on M.W.P. No.2563/06. The petitioner's case is that he is working as Sub Engineer in Water Resources Department and he has been transferred to Rural Engineering Services without his consent and moreover, there is no provision in the Rural Engineering Services Rules for taking any person on deputation. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that stay has already been granted by this Court in similar matters. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the effect and operation of the impugned order is stayed till the next date of hearing. List this petition along with similar petitions on **14.7.2006**. In view of this order, M.W.P. No.2563/06 & I.A. No.6571/06 stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

(पीछे देखिये)

11.7.06

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

14-7-2006

W.P.Nos.2921/2006, 2877/2006, 2878/2006, 2879/2006, 2880/2006, 2882/2006, 2906/2006, 2933/2006, 2938/2006, 2940/2006, 2941/2006, 2942/2006, 2960/2006, 2996/2006, 2998/2006, 3000/2006, 3044/2006, 3045/2006, 3079/2006, 3081/2006, 3344/2006, 1563/2006, 3177/2006, 3189/2006, 3205/2006, 3222/2006, 3224/2006, 3225/2006, 3240/2006, 3246/2006, 3417/2006, 3418/2006.

Petitioners by Shri Kanak Tiwari & P.K.C. Tiwary, Sr. Advocates with Shri Shashi Bhushan, Shri Manoj Paranjpe, Shri Jitendra Pali, Shri Vinay Pandey, Shri Sunil Otwani, Shri Gautam Khetrapal, Shri A.K. Prasad, Shri Maneesh Sharma, Shri R.S. Shrivastava, Shri Rakesh Kumar Jha.

State/respondents by Shri P.K. Verma, Additional Advocate General with Shri V.V.S. Murthy & Shri G.K. Beriwal, Deputy Advocate Generals and Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Government Advocate for the State.

Shri R.P. Mandal, Secretary, Panchayat & Rural Development Department; Shri N.S. Bhadoriya, Engineer-in-Chief, Water Resources Department; Shri M.L. Haldkar, CEO, CGRRDA; Shri S.K. Gupta, Chief Engineer, Rural Engineering Services; Shri S.N. Shrivastava, Superintending Engineer, PMGSY, Circle: Raipur & Officer-in-Charge, and Shri Subodh Shrivastava, Executive Engineer, Water Resources Departments & Officer-in-Charge are present in Court.

Heard.

By these writ petitions, the petitioners, who are Assistant Engineers in Water Resources Department, have challenged the orders dated 12-6-2006 & 24-2-2006, whereby they have been sent on deputation to various other Departments.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

At the outset, Shri Pramod Verma & Shri V.V.S. Murthy made a statement before the Court that if the impugned orders dated 12-6-2006 & 24-2-2006 are quashed in respect of the petitioners who have filed petitions before this Court, they have no objection.

Shri Kanak Tiwari, learned Senior Advocate, submitted that a copy of the affidavit along with Minutes of Meeting dated 6-7-2006 has been filed, therefore, there is every apprehension that the respondents may pass orders under that scheme in respect of the petitioners and it will affect the petitioners' interest. Therefore, he wants to file a counter affidavit.

The prayer of learned Senior Advocate Shri Kanak Tiwari cannot be acceded, for the reason that in these writ petitions, the petitioners have questioned the legality and correctness of the orders dated 12-6-2006 & 24-2-2006 and moreover, the so called guidelines prepared vide Minutes dated 6-7-2006 are not the subject matter in these writ petitions and the respondents have not passed any order under those guidelines, so far. As such, the prayer made by Shri Kanak Tiwari is rejected.

In view of the statement made at the Bar by Shri P.K. Verma and Shri V.V.S. Murthy in presence of the Officers of the State, the orders dated 12-6-2006 & 24-2-2006 whereby the petitioners, who are working in the Water Resources Department as Assistant Engineers and have been sent on deputation to various other Departments are quashed and their writ petitions are allowed.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C. Bhadoo
Judge

Soma